
Subject: Re: Schema version 1.00 RC1 released
Posted by [Matthias Hengartner](#) on Tue, 28 Sep 2004 14:02:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello again,

here the rest of my remarks about V1.00 RC1 for the present:

- In the <connection>-element, we have the attribute "branchTopologyIDRef". We should rename it in "branchInfrastructureIDRef", since we refer to another <infrastructure> with an "infrastructureID". (It think this inconsistency bases on a former proposal of mine).

(Creating Instructions for railML partial schemes) from Nils Poldrack

(www.railml.org/documents/general/creatinginstructions_ger_RC1.pdf), section

is(among other) the following rule:

* Abbreviations or contractions may not be used as part of designator names
---> this rule collides with different designators in the infrastructure schema (e.g. infraAttrGroup, ocp***, dir, posOnNet, absPos,)
It's not my opinion that we have to rename these designators, but perhaps we should change the wording of this rule ("should not" instead of "may not").

- And finally, there are some missprints in the demofile (for those who already use it):

1. branchTrackIDRef "B-SW13-SW14" should be "C-SW13-SW14"
2. branchTrackIDRef "B-SW17-SW18" should be "C-SW17-SW18"
3. crossection of track "A-4" at km 50.313 should have ocpIDRef "A" haben (not "D")
4. branchIDRef "SW26L" (in track "A-4") should be "SW29L"
5. and EndTrackD-4 is an "empty" <simpleConnection>. By intention?

(Ulli, I'll send you the demofile with points 1. to 4. corrected, so you don't have to do the work that I've already done.)

Have a nice evening

Matthias Hengartner

Matthias Hengartner

++ 41 1 633 31 09
hengartner@ivt.baug.ethz.ch
