
Subject: Re: New scheme about incidents

Posted by [Joerg von Lingen](#) on Thu, 11 Mar 2004 18:30:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hallo,

just to correct a potential misunderstanding: "incident" is in the context of that new scheme not directly an "accident" but mainly an "equipment failure". It will be used in that case to calculate system availability in the end.

Regards,
Joerg von Lingen

Thomas Kauer wrote the following on 10.03.2004 11:06:

> Hallo,

>

> I wonder if there is no XML-Scheme defined for incidents in general like
> for insurance companies or so. Since many incidents don't restrict on only
> one part (e.g. if there is an accident the rollingstock and the timetable
> may be involved too) it might be usefull to work with an incident that
> only has references to the concerned parts of the infrastructure and to
> the other schemes if relevant? So we could use the work done before by
> specialists for incidents and cover information not only for the
> infrastructure element but also on the gravity of the incident and its
> consequences (injured persons, costs, ...).

>

> Best regards
> Thomas Kauer

>

>

> Joerg von Lingen wrote:

>

>

>> Hallo,

>

>

>> we are developing a software for calculation of railway infrastructure
>> availability. One portion is the feed-in of incidents (infrastructure
>> failures). Therefore we have started to develop a new scheme to have this
>> input in RailML format. This scheme will contain info about time, duration
>> and type of failure. We will present more details on next RailML meeting
>> in April.

>

>

>> Mit freundlichen Grüßen/Best regards,

>

>

>> -----

>> Dr. Jörg von Lingen
>> IFB Institut für Bahntechnik GmbH
>> Niederlassung Dresden
>> Wiener Str. 114-116
>> D-01219 Dresden
>
>
>> Tel.: +49 (0)351 / 877 59 - 40
>> Fax.: +49 (0)351 / 877 59 - 90
>
>
>> E-Mail: jvl@bahntechnik.de
>> Internet: www.bahntechnik.de
>
>
>
