

---

Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2012 06:58:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We currently use the following roles on the trainPart level:

- contractor (Auftraggeber)
- subcontractor (Fremdunternehmer)
- concessionaire (Konzessionsinhaber)
- operator (Betreiber)

All of these come with attributes like address, phone number, licence number, etc.

That's why, and because the possible roles vary for different users, I would prefer to model these as separate and referable entities. This wouldn't be a breaking change, so why not do it for the next minor release?

Best, --Andreas.

Am 15.10.2012 17:46, schrieb Susanne Wunsch:

- > Hi Andreas and others,
- >
- > How about this topic? I want to resume the discussion.
- >
- > I currently don't see a generic "role-list" for railML 2.2 as there are
- > already different positions in the railML-tree for this purpose (not in
- > a separate list).
- >
- > Susanne Wunsch<coord@common.railml.org> writes:
- >> Andreas, you would like to have some "role catalogue" outside the
- >> sub-schema for collecting all roles, wouldn't you? How about using XSL
- >> or XQuery for such a task with the knowledge of each possible occurrence
- >> in the sub-schemas?
- >>
- >> Aahh ... I saw, you bound two roles to the 'trainPart' element. That's
- >> really a good point. Let's look, if there are more than one possible
- >> role across the schemas. If there are problems (like the attribute in
- >> <trainPart>) let's file a ticket about it and change it in the near
- >> future.
- >
- > Do you need some additional "contractor" at the 'trainPart' level?
- >
- > Other opinions, comments, remarks...?
- >
- > Kind regards...
- > Susanne
- >