

---

Subject: Re: roles

Posted by \_\_\_\_\_ on Thu, 18 Oct 2012 09:44:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Susanne and Andreas,

> Other opinions, comments, remarks...?

If it is deemed necessary to keep the addresses, phone numbers a.s.o. of such companies in RailML, I would also (like Andreas) not repeat them at each <trainPart> nor <vehicle>. So, I agree that we should create a central "address" list and make cross-references (ref's) to that list \_if\_ we want to handle them in RailML.

Since there are more possible kinds of companies as

- > - contractor (Auftraggeber)
- > - subcontractor (Fremdunternehmer)
- > - concessionaire (Konzessionsinhaber)
- > - operator (Betreiber)

(possibly "Aufgabenträger" but also "catering contractor...") I would prefer not to have pre-defined elements for them at <trainPart>. Rather, I could imagine a general enumerable list of "<contractor>" or "<partner>" with an attribute for "kind of contractual relationship" = Aufgabenträger/Auftraggeber/Subauftragnehmer/Caterer...

Probably we will first introduce this principle at <trainPart> but later extend it for <vehicles>, IMs, TOCs. So the "central address list" (list of "roles") should probably not be situated at <timetable>.

Best regards,  
Dirk.

---