

---

Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Susanne Wunsch railML](#) on Mon, 12 Nov 2012 15:15:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Dirk,

I'm sorry, I don't understand the focus of this posting.

Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de> writes:

> Am 12.11.2012, 10:14 Uhr, schrieb Susanne Wunsch <coord@common.railml.org>:

>> <vehicleOperator .../>

>> <vehicleManufacturer .../>

>> <infrastructureManager .../>

>

> Am 18.10.2012, 11:44 Uhr, schrieb Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de>:

>> I would prefer not to have pre-defined elements for them at

>> <trainPart>.

I really prefer the pre-defined element list with the background of systematic XML validation options.

>> Rather, I could imagine a general enumerable list of "<contractor>"

>> or "<partner>" with an attribute for "kind of contractual

>> relationship" =

I already asked for the difference between 'contractor' and 'partner' but got no satisfactory answer.

>> Aufgabenträger/Auftraggeber/Subauftragnehmer/Caterer...

If you need the appropriate element names which you already offered in German, I give it a try:

Aufgabenträger: Transport organisation authority (AOT)

Auftraggeber: Customer

Subauftragnehmer: Subcontractor

Caterer: Caterer :-)

I would, of course, extend the element's list with these names if they really match the needed semantics.

Please ask further for any open points.

Kind regards...

Susanne

--

