
Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Fri, 08 Feb 2013 12:52:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,
let me summarize the current discussion. You are suggesting a subelement below <trainPart>:

```
<timetable...>
...
<trainPart...>
...
<organizationalUnitBinding>
  <railwayUndertaking ref="ru1"/>
  <contractor ref="cr1"/>
  <concessionaire ref="cc1"/>
  <vehicleOperator ref="vo1"/>
  <operator ref="op1"/>
  <infrastructureManager ref="im1"/>
  <other:somethingElse ref="se1"/>
</organizationalUnitBinding>
</trainPart>
...
</timetable>
</railml>
```

The other possibility suggested by Dirk would look like:

```
<organizationalUnitBinding>
  <organizationalUnitBinding type="railwayUndertaking" ref="ru1"/>
  <organizationalUnitBinding type="other:somethingElse" ref="se1"/>
</organizationalUnitBinding>
```

I would prefer the first way of describing, because the types of organizationalUnitBindings are quite fixed and both methods are expandable. Its also better to have separated lists for the railwayUndertakers and operators etc. instead of one giant list of organizations with an additional type to distiguish between catering companies and railway undertakers.

If there is a consense I could implement the timetable part within 2.2 (or within 2.x).

Kind regards,
Joachim

Joachim Rubröder

--
----- posted via PHP Headliner -----
