
Subject: Re: roles

Posted by [Susanne Wunsch railML](#) on Mon, 11 Feb 2013 14:03:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Andreas, Joachim and others,

Andreas Tanner <ata@ivu.de> writes:

- > The requirement of a date was brought in by Joerg von Lingen. I would
- > not drop it but anchor it with the binding. Otherwise, to me the
- > proposal looks fine.

I just implemented this proposal. [1]

The dates for the vehicle operator binding should better stay at the "vehicle", not at the "vehicle operator".

- > We should agree on a list of "predefined" roles
- > (the subelements of <organizationalUnits>) that are sufficiently
- > general, including their German pendants and a description for the
- > wiki.

>
> Here is a suggestion:

- >
- > contractor (Auftraggeber) : The authority or enterprise that ordered
- > the transportation service, i.e., an integrated transit system

Contractor is a very general term for both sides: customer and supplier.
-> renamed to "customer", keeping the above explanation

- > railwayUndertaking (Verkehrsunternehmen) : The undertaking that is
- > commercially responsible for the service

+1

- > vehicleOperator, in IVU language this would be subcontractor
- > (Fremdunternehmer) : The railway undertaking that operates the
- > vehicle, if different from the one that is responsible for the
- > commercial service

+1

- > - concessionaire (Konzessionsinhaber) ?? Not sure, we use it for bus
- > transport.

+1

- > - operator (Betreiber) - the unit that is responsible for the

> operational planning of this service

Operator is a very general term for each kind of operation and hard to distinguish from the "railwayUndertaking". -> renamed to "operationalUndertaking", keeping the above mentioned explanation

> infrastructureManager (Infrastrukturbetreiber) ...

+1

> vehicleManufacturer (Fahrzeughersteller) ...

+1

Added "contractor" as generic enhancement with additional "role" and "subLevel" attributes for "catering, cleaning... bindings".

References to this elements will be implemented in a specialized way in the sub-schemas. [2]

Any comments appreciated.

Kind regards...
Susanne

[1] <http://trac.assembla.com/railML/changeset/542>

[2] <http://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/178#comment:4>

--

Susanne Wunsch
Schema Coordinator: railML.common
