
Subject: Re: train protection systems

Posted by on Fri, 08 May 2015 17:22:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Joerg and Christian,

I can possibly help to clarify this matter a little bit. Probably these "medium" and "monitoring" go back to the very roots of railML when we (at Fraunhofer Dresden / TU Dresden then) filled the first structures with all we knew - with or without practical background...

If so, and if there was no usage of these values in the years since then, we possibly can omit at least the "monitoring". It is very theoretical and has never had a real background. It is only that we did teach the students things about Zugbeeinflussungsanlagen from a German point of view like

PZB = punktförmig; induktiv, mechanisch, optisch...

LZB = linienförmig, Kabel oder Schiene...

ATP = punktförmig, magnetisch

Krokodil...

We should avoid the "monitoring" = [intermittent, continuous] since Zugbeeinflussungsanlagen like ZUB (HF-Technik, Induktionsschleifen) and ETCS (radio) are hybrids, they are a kind of semi-continuous. I think nobody here (in railML) needs this rather academic information.

We can possibly also discard the "medium" if we have a certain attribute for type/model/series such as "PZB80", "I60", "LZB90", "LZB500", "ZUB121" a. s. o. If so, everybody can deduce the "medium" from the type. Also, some types (as ZUB121) can have several mediums (HF-Balisen and Induktionsschleifen).

Best regards,
Dirk.
