Subject: Re: RailML-compliant?
Posted by Christian Rahmig on Mon, 14 Sep 2015 08:30:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

just for clarification:

As already indicated by Bernhard an <penEnd> element as a child element of <switch> is, of course, invalid syntax. Please refer to [1] to find out that a <switch> must have at least one <connection> element for defining the connection to a branching track.

[1]

http://schemas.railml.org/2013/railML-2.2/documentation/railML.html#element_switch_Link05F708D0

Best regards Christian

Am 02.02.2015 um 15:01 schrieb A. Kuckelberg:

- > Dear all.
- > we received "RailML2.2-compliant" infrastructure data but had some
- > problems parsing it.

>

- > There is one element <switch> (within infrastructure ->
- > tracks->track->trackTopology->connections) which contains an
- > openEnd-element:

- > Is this compliant to RailML 2.2?
- > If so, where can I find it within the schema?
- > If not, is this invalid data with respect to the RailML-2.2-Schema?

> -

>

> The corresponding part of the XSD is:

```
> <xs:complexType name="eSwitch">
> <xs:complexContent>
> <xs:extension base="rail:tSwitch">
```

> <xs:sequence>
> <xs:element name="connection" type="rail:tSwitchConnectionData"</pre>

> maxOccurs="3">

> <xs:annotation>

<xs:documentation

> source="http://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=IS:connection_switch" />

> </xs:annotation>
> </xs:element>

> </xs:sequence>

</xs:extension>

- > </xs:complexContent>
 > </xs:complexType>
 >
 >
 Thanks in advance,
 > Alexander
 >
- Christian Rahmig railML.infrastructure coordinator