
Subject: Re: Additional attribute for crossing roads/riders
Posted by [coordination](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 2015 18:04:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

an idea - maybe already taken into consideration by the responsible coordinator - for better interoperability of the data:

Alexander Wolf wrote:

> The enhanced example might look like this:

```
>
> <bridge ... name="Marienbrücke">
>   <crossingElements>
>     <crossingElement ... name="Elbe" type="river" pos=2100 />
>     <crossingElement ... name="B6" type="road" pos=2150 />
>     <crossingElement ... name="Elberadweg" type="other" pos=2200 />
>   </crossingElements>
> </bridge>
```

Why not using the same name for the tags like in Openstreetmap? This description is used widely and free available via their wiki at http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Map_Features. Maybe we need not the same level of detail (as we're not Openstreetmap) but it's always a good idea to call the same things with the same name in exchange formats. If other available formats (like GML) have descriptions too, maybe they are closer to railML?

Regards,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen

--

----- posted via PHP Headliner -----
