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Hello Torben,
Am 09.03.2016 um 14:17 schrieb Torben Brand:
> Since this is my first forum post (finally) I will give a
$>$ short introduction to myself. My name is Torben Brand and I
$>$ am the railML coordinator for the Norwegian national rail
> administration, Jernbaneverket. I primarily work as a
> railway capacity engineer. Here I work a lot with the railML
> programs Opentrack, Treno and Viriato. As a half German I
> also speak fluent German.
Welcome to the railML forum!
> My issue is the following:
> Sometimes you have to split a track in two graphically. For
$>$ instance due to the display or sheet size, you have to
$>$ continue on a new row below. I will refer to this as a
$>$ visual connector from now on. In railML today this would
> generate two tracks. This makes the model unnecessary
> complex and less human readable.
> I propose to handle this visual connector in the
$>$ visualization in railML, instead of splitting the track.
$>$
$>$ Suggestions to solutions could be:
$>1)$ Allowing for two positions under <trackElementVis>. The
$>$ programs would have to interpret this as a visual
> connector.
> [...]

The main problem we are facing with all your suggested solutions is that the infrastructure visualization branch has been marked deprecated with railML version 2.2. However, we have to find a solution for the use case you described. How this solution may look like, can be discussed with the community here and at our next railML.org meeting. I am interested in your opinions.

Thank you very much and best regards
Christian

Christian Rahmig
railML.infrastructure coordinator

