Dear railML community members,

When talking about railML v3 the possibility to define railway network topology on several levels plays a key role in the discussion.

A central question, however, has not yet been completely answered: Which railway infrastructure elements are considered to be the correct "border elements" at each topology level?

A relevant example for illustration:
A station is an <operationalPoint> and it can be demarcated by the location of the station entrance signals. If aggregated at mesoscopic level, one <netElement> shall reference all microscopic topology elements covered by the <operationalPoint>. Is this demarcation generally accepted among all of you?

Any comments are highly appreciated...

Best regards
Christian

--
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany   www.railml.org