
Subject: Re: Infrastructure registers

Posted by on Wed, 27 Dec 2017 13:28:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Christian,

> What do you think about this proposal?

To be honest: Not good. I miss a clear and easy understandable structure. As far as I understand, with your suggestion, one could use the same value for <designator @register=...> in registers for <ocp>s, <line>s and so on. So, someone could write <designator register='DB640'> at a <line> element despite there are no lines listed in DB640. This could not be checked by a validator algorithm. It would not be formally invalid but it would be semantically invalid.

Rather, I would prefer railML being a standard which brings us as most clarity as possible.

With best regards,
Dirk.
