

---

Subject: Re: Signal characteristics (de: Signaleigenschaften)  
Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 12 Mar 2018 14:23:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Tobias,

Am 12.03.2018 um 13:28 schrieb Tobias Bregulla:

> Hello Christian,  
>  
> we do not use <signal>@sigSystem in our exports as we find a modelling  
> way to have the same meaning by using <signal>@ruleCode. Last week we  
> filled some examples for speed signals and signals in the corresponding  
> Wiki page (see <http://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=IS:signal>) with  
> OUR way of modelling. I think that this is far away from being perfect  
> but we are expecting a more granular modelling from railML 3.x IS & IL  
> work. I expect good results from the communities work in these use cases  
> than in railML 2.x.

Thank you for this feedback and for adding these valuable examples in  
the wiki!

> By the way, what about:  
> - <signal>@maskableRoute,  
> - <signal>@maskableATC,  
> - <signal>@sight and  
> - <signal>@distNearestDangerPoint?  
>  
> These elements are neither well documented in the Wiki than clear from  
> the semantics. It seems that there are also a lot of dependencies to a  
> IL scheme which does not exists in railML 2.x for now.

I created a Trac ticket based on your input [1]. If there are no  
objections from the railML community, the mentioned attributes are going  
to be marked DEPRECATED with railML 2.4.

[1] <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/322>

Best regards  
Christian

--  
Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator  
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)  
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911  
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany [www.railml.org](http://www.railml.org)

---