
Subject: Re: Mapping of availability periods of the infrastructure by
TT:operatingPeriod

Posted by on Sun, 29 Apr 2018 20:14:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Christian,

I did not take part in the meeting in Berlin, but your example looks understandable to me. However, I have 2 comments on using the <operatingPeriod>:

- The attributes startDate and endDate only define the validity period of the <operatingPeriod>, i.e. for which period the <operatingPeriod> contains data. The actual days on which an activity takes place (in your example the non-availability) must be defined using the bitMask attribut and / or the <operatingDay> / <specialService> elements.

- According to railML-Wiki the attributes startDate / endDate are used to limit the validity of a <operatingPeriod> compared to its <timetablePeriod>, i.e. if startDate / endDate is used for a <operatingPeriod>, a suitable <timetablePeriod> should also be given for this <operatingPeriod>.

Best regards
Christian Rößiger

Am 23.04.2018 um 14:51 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

```
> Dear all,  
>  
> may I briefly summarize the solution that we agreed on in Berlin last  
> week with a short example:  
>  
> <infrastructure ...>  
>   <track ...>  
>     <states>  
>       <state disabled="true" operatingPeriodRef="opp01"  
> startTime="22:00:00" endTime="06:00:00" endDayOffset="1"/>  
>     </states>  
>   ...  
> </track>  
> </infrastructure>  
>  
> <timetable ...>  
>   <operatingPeriods>  
>     <operatingPeriod id="opp01" startDate="2018-04-28"  
> endDate="2018-04-29"/>  
>   </operatingPeriods>  
> </timetable>
```

- >
- > This example describes the (non-repeating) closing of the track from
- > Saturday, 10 pm, to Sunday, 6 am.
- >
- > Any comments from your side?
- >
- > Best regards
- > Christian

--
iRFP e. K. · Institut für Regional- und Fernverkehrsplanung
Hochschulstr. 45, 01069 Dresden
Tel. +49 351 4706819 · Fax. +49 351 4768190 · www.irfp.de
Registergericht: Amtsgericht Dresden, HRA 9347
