## Subject: Re: Representation of operational stations Posted by christian.rahmig on Fri, 22 Jun 2018 10:34:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

let me summarize the current proposal for changing the OCP traffic type as formulated in Trac ticket #328 [1]:

\* adding new value "operational"

Further, I want to direct your focus on the new wiki page [2] about different types of OCPs. Although the examples describe the situation in Germany, they provide a very good insight in specific modelling of different types of OCPs. Thank you very much, Dirk and Mr. Leberl, for this contribution!

My question to Tobias (and all others that have a need for it): Looking at the explanations in [2], do you still agree with current proposal of Trac ticket #328 to be implemented with railML 2.4 or would you like to change it? In particular: Does the "Betriebsbahnhof" (en: loop and/or overtaking track with no passanger nor freight access) fit to what you originally intended to model and are you satisfied with the solution described in the wiki?

- [1] https://trac.railml.org/ticket/328
- [2] https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=Dev:Types\_of\_ocps

As usual I am looking forward to receiving your comments...

Best regards Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org