
Subject: <state> for complete <infrastructure>

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2018 12:12:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

Am 01.09.2017 um 14:32 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

> [...]

> Thinking about this top level state information, I assume that it may be
> useful to have the <state> element also available directly under
> <infrastructure>. This would us allow to recognize the difference
> between the different railML files and their included "infrastructure
> scenarios" without having to look in detail into them. So, probably a
> ticket for railML 2.4?

I created the Trac ticket #337 [1] that addresses the issue of having a
<states> element for the root <infrastructure> element. Any comments and
remarks on the proposal are very welcome...

[1] <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/337>

Best regards

Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
