
Subject: Re: Representation of operational stations
Posted by [Tobias Bregulla](#) on Thu, 30 Aug 2018 15:14:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all!

Am 22.06.2018 um 12:34 schrieb Christian Rahmig:
> let me summarize the current proposal for changing the OCP traffic type
> as formulated in Trac ticket #328 [1]:
> * adding new value "operational"

We would ask to enrich the OCP traffic type by adding new value
"operational" as formulated in Trac #328 for railML 2.4.

> My question to Tobias (and all others that have a need for it):
> Looking at the explanations in [2], do you still agree with current
> proposal of Trac ticket #328 to be implemented with railML 2.4 or woul
> you like to change it? In particular: Does the "Betriebsbahnhof" (en:
> loop and/or overtaking track with no passenger nor freight access) fit
> to what you originally intended to model and are you satisfied with he
> solution described in the wiki?

Reason: For the export of the operational meaning of an OCP we use the
element <propOperational>, since in our view the element <propService>
only specifies the peripheral and additional offers or services of a
station. For this reason, this element is often not evaluated in reading
subsequent systems, but an explicit specification of the status is required.

For railML 3.x we would suggest to find a unified modelling with lesser
or no overlaps between <propOperational> and <propService> to avoid
these possible misunderstandings.

Best regards,

Tobias and the Bahnkonzept team

Am 27.03.2018 um 10:42 schrieb Christian Rahmig:
> I assume you are referring to the attribute @trafficType? The attribute
> @operationalType is used to define the operational functionality of an
> OCP containing the values

Yes, that hint and the assumption were completely correct. I apologize
for the mix-up.
