Subject: Re: NetElements vs. Tracks vs. TrainDetectionElements vs. TvdSections Posted by christian.rahmig on Fri, 02 Nov 2018 15:31:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Fabiana.

Am 25.10.2018 um 17:08 schrieb Fabiana Diotallevi:

- > Hello everybody,
- > since I'm new to RailML community I'll briefly introduce
- > myself: I'm Fabiana Diotallevi from NEAT (www.neat.it), an
- > Italian design and development company, with solid
- > experience in creating HW&SW solutions for mission and
- > safety critical applications.
- > At the moment we are developing a tool for drawing and
- > visualizing fully equipped railway track plans, and for
- > easily editing, checking and importing and/or exporting the
- > relative objects properties in different formats (among
- > which, of course, railML).

Welcome to the railML forum! I am looking forward to learn more about your visualization application, because it relates to one of our first railML 3 use cases: Schematic Track Plan (see [1]). So, if you are going to attend the upcoming railML conference (14.11.2018) [2] and railML 3.1 Dissemination workshop (13.11.2018) [3] in Praha, we may discuss in detail.

- > I have read the documentation regarding the Infrastructure
- > and the Interlocking Scheme, and I have some doubts on how
- > to link the trackCircuit xml representation between the
- > Infrastructure and Interlocking Scheme.
- > Consider for example the situation depicted in the attached
- > figure: my goal is to find the correct representation of the
- > netElements, the tracks, the trainDetectionElements
- > (Infrastructure Scheme) and the TvDSection (Interlocking
- > Scheme) of this very unrealistic case study.
- > In the figure there are 6 trackcircuits, delimited by 5
- > joints. The trackcircuits (in the real world) are composed
- > by the the following segments:

>

>

- TC01 = a
- > TC02 = b+c+e
- TC03 = d
- > TC04= f+h+i
- > TC05 = g
- > TC06 = I

_

- > According to what I understood reading the railML
- > documentation, the 6 trackcircuits correspond the 6

> TvdSections in the Interlocking Scheme, is this correct?

That is correct.

- > Another point I would like you to confirm me, is that, if I
- > have only one operational point, in the Infrastructure
- > scheme the netElement representation corresponds to the
- > Track representation.

NetElements are topology elements and thus independent from "railway typical" tracks and lines. The line> as well as the <track> is located as NetEntity on the underlaying topology (NetElement).

- > In particular, I would say that the netElements and tracks
- > representation of this case study should be the following:

```
> trc01 = ne_01 = a+b

> trc02 = ne_02 = c+d

> trc03 = ne_03 = e+f

> trc04 = ne_04 = g+h

> trc05 = ne_05 = i+l
```

Yes, this approach is possible. In this specific microscopic model, the location of the <track> corresponds with the <netElement>.

- > For what concerns the limiting joints , they should be
- > represented in the following way as trainDetectionElements:

```
    J1 = tde01 => netElementRef="ne_a01"
    J2 = tde02 => netElementRef="ne_a02"
    J3 = tde03 => netElementRef="ne_a03"
    J4 = tde04 => netElementRef="ne_a04"
```

> • J5 = tde05 => netElementRef="ne_a05"

You mean "ne_01" instead of "ne_a01", don't you?

> Finally, for the TvdSection we should have:

```
    Tvd01 = TC01 -> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j1"
    Tvd02 = TC02-> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j1", "j2",
    "j3"
    Tvd03 = TC03-> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j2"
    Tvd04= TC04-> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j3","j4","j5"
    Tvd05 = TC05-> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j4"
    Tvd06 = TC06-> DemarcatingTraindetector ="j5"
    Is all of this correct?
```

Yes, this is correct :-)

I am not sure whether the buffer points have to be added as demarcating points of TvdSections, too, but I am sure the interlocking coordinator can answer this remaining question quite fast...

- [1] https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=UC:IS:Schematic_Trac k_Plan
- [2] https://www.railml.org/en/event-reader/34th-railml-conferenc e.html

[3]

https://www.railml.org/en/event-reader/3rd-railml-3-beta-fee dback-workshop.html

Best regards Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org