
Subject: Re: infrastructure "state"

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Mon, 26 Nov 2018 15:28:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Christian,

Am 08.11.2018 um 11:50 schrieb Christian Rößiger:

- > [...] To keep the
- > consistency between both attributes, I would only add the following
- > dependencies to the wiki instead of my previous suggestion:
- >
- > status = conceptual|planned|disabled|closed -> disabled=true
- > status = operational -> disabled=false
- >
- > Are there any objections / improvements?

since there have been no objections, I recommend to go ahead. Do you want to add this semantic constraint on the wiki page [1] or do you want me to do it?

[1] <https://wiki.railml.org/index.php?title=IS:state>

Best regards

Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
