
Subject: Re: infrastructure "state"

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2018 14:33:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Thomas,

Am 03.12.2018 um 16:36 schrieb Thomas Nygreen:

- > [...]
- > I strongly disagree with constraining
- > @status=conceptual|planned to @disabled=true. [...]
- >
- > It seems to me that there are different use cases requiring
- > different values for @disabled when
- > @status=conceptual|planned, depending on the relevant time
- > frame.
- >
- > For @status=operational|disabled|closed it seems more
- > feasible to restrict the value for @disabled.

let me briefly summarize your proposal:

```
@status="conceptual" --> @disabled="true/false"
@status="planned"    --> @disabled="true/false"
@status="operational" --> @disabled="false"
@status="disabled"   --> @disabled="true"
@status="closed"     --> @disabled="true"
```

In case that there won't be any conflicting reactions on this proposal until the end of year, I am going to bring it into the forum as semantic rule for state.

Best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
