Subject: Re: Derailer

Posted by Ren Schnemann on Tue, 21 Oct 2008 08:26:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Definitely no objections. It may still useful to have them. Thanks for the help.

Best regards,

"Dr. Volker Knollmann" <coord@infrastructure.railml.org> schrieb im Newsbeitrag news:gdih2g\$jb0\$1@sifa.ivi.fhg.de...

- >> today we disussed about derailers and their handling in RailML. Version
- >> 1.1
- >> does not contain anything about derailers but Version 0.9 did. So, does
- >> anybody know where they went to?

>

- > Uh-oh.... I can't remember any reason why we could have intentionally
- > deleted them. If the break occurs between V0.9 and V1.0 it is likely
- > that we made a mistake when we prepared the release 1.0.

>

- > Since I'm just preparing a new "mini-release" of the infrastructure
- > schema for the next days, I can use that chance to re-include them.

>

> Any objections?

>

- > Best regards,
- > Volker Knollmann

> > ·

- > Dr. Volker Knollmann
- > RailML Infrastructure Coordinator
- > EMail: coord@infrastructure.railml.org

>

> Business contact:

>

- > Siemens AG
- > Industry Sector
- > I MO RA SPP SM21
- > Ackerstr. 22
- > 38126 Braunschweig
- > Tel.: +49 (531) 226-2592
- > mailto:volker.knollmann@siemens.com