Subject: Re: switchType IS vs. IL
Posted by Joerg von Lingen on Sat, 26 Jan 2019 04:01:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

@switchType in <switchIL> removed

Joerg von Lingen wrote on 16.01.2019 12:16:
> Dear all,
>
> currently we have an attribute switchType in IS and IL which seem to be redundant. For the purpose in IL to distinguish
> particluar relations we have found the following matches to IS making the attribute unnecessary in IL:
>
> simpleSwitch <=> ordinarySwitch/insideCurvedSwitch/outsideCurvedSwitch without relatedMovableElement
> simpleSwitch <=> ordinarySwitch/insideCurvedSwitch/outsideCurvedSwitch + relatedMovableElement (derailer)
> coupledSwitch <=> ordinarySwitch/insideCurvedSwitch/outsideCurvedSwitch + relatedMovableElement (switch)
> doubleSlipSwitch <=> doubleSwitchCrossing
> singleSlipSwitch <=> simpleSwitchCrossing
>
> Would you agree to remove the switchType in IL?
>