

Dear Thomas,
dear all,

Am 29.12.2018 um 00:36 schrieb Thomas Nygreen:

- > [...]
- > In the 3.1-RC @applicationDirection is required for both
- > <spotLocation>s and <linearLocation>s. Consequently it is
- > not possible to position an infrastructure element without
- > including @applicationDirection, even if most element types
- > do not have any specific direction (see
- > <https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=607>,
- > including
- > https://www.railml.org/forum/index.php?t=msg&th=607&goto=1985&#msg_1985).
- > I fear that this will lead to the same confusion that exists
- > in 2.x.
- >
- > The problem can be reduced by making the attribute optional,
- > although this would still allow misuse (which is better than
- > forcing it). I would prefer an alternate implementation that
- > also separated elements that need a direction from the ones
- > that do not, but I acknowledge that it would go against the
- > general design. (After all, one is free to choose any
- > location type for any element.)

The railML 3.1 implementation considers your remarks and makes the attribute @applicationDirection optional (see Trac ticket #266 [1]). By doing so, a missing attribute @applicationDirection may either mean, that the infrastructure element has no application direction or that it is unknown. Consequently, the attribute @applicationDirection has to be provided whenever the direction is known (no default value).

- > As a side note: so far, the documentation of this attribute
- > and its values is scarce. This increases my fear that this
- > attribute will be misinterpreted and misused.

Thank you for your hint. In order not to forget about this issue, I moved the Trac ticket #266 [1] into the Wiki domain.

[1] <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/266>

Best regards
Christian

--

Christian Rahmig - Infrastructure scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 173 2714509; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org
