Subject: Re: [railML2] Clearer modelling of the signal designation
Posted by Thomas Nygreen on Wed, 26 Feb 2020 15:59:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Tobias,

I do not think that the example violates the rules. "A1" is a human-readable signal name. As Torben points out, the name does not follow any semantic constraints. Therefore, another name might be equally valid and informative to a human user. For a specific purpose, such as mirroring the text on the identification board attached to the signal post, there should be a specific modelling. I will leave the more detailed discussion to my colleague Christian.

Best,
Thomas