

---

Subject: Re: Example of is::track type attribute

Posted by [Vasco Paul Kolmorgen](#) on Mon, 30 Mar 2020 18:02:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

after discussion in the railML SCTP developers group an track type example based on railML's Advanced Example (V07; German layout) was defined and discussed. You may download it from

[https://forum.railml.org/userfiles/2020-03-25\\_railml\\_railml3-advancedexample-tracktypes.pdf](https://forum.railml.org/userfiles/2020-03-25_railml_railml3-advancedexample-tracktypes.pdf) from now. Therefore the definition of "open track" was not needed anymore.

It is intended to sharpen the current definition for railML 2.x and to use the same definition for railML 3.x.

What does the community think about? Who can contribute to a sharp and understandable definition to the track types?

Any comments are welcome.

Best regards,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator

railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)

Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911

Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany [www.railML.org](http://www.railML.org)

Am 06.01.2020 um 15:36 schrieb Christian Rahmig:

> I am not sure if there is such a big difference between the  
> different types of track (usage): In particular, for  
> "sidingTrack" and "connectingTrack" the usage is the same  
> like the possible usage. Major physical characteristics of  
> the track are - so far - not modelled in railML.

>

> What need to be clarified, is the question if "open track"  
> is a common term in the English railway sector to describe a  
> track outside of a station. Any comments from the (English  
> speaking) railML community?

>

> Thank you very much and best regards

> Christian

---