Subject: Re: [railML3] Suggested extension for RBC Posted by Jörg von Lingen on Tue, 19 May 2020 07:29:44 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I would see the suggested new element <radioBlockCentre> in the interlocking part of railML as it is a more functional item of train control which has not a representation at a particular track.

If derived from class "SystemAsset" it would inherit @id for any railML internal referencing (not NID_RBC), <designator> and <assetName>. The latter one can be used to store the real name even in different languages. In that way it would be in parallel to the elements of <controllers> (HMI, TMS) and <signalboxes> (interlocking module).

The proposed additional attributes (NID_C, NID_RBC, NID_RADIO, NID_MN) can be attached to the <radioBlockCentre> element. However, the naming should be more reflect their function than the ETCS variable name. In XML they would be of type NonNegativeInteger but the limitations as coming from ETCS spec is not that straight forward. Are there any suggestions as to how model this?

The proposed element <radioBlockCentreBorder> I would see as a new entry in the list <assetsForInterlocking>. Although there is the issue of defining a position on a netElement for it. If placed only in IS part the referencing between IS and IL elements might become a little bit weird, refer post "[railML3]: Referencing between IS and IL".

```
Best regards,
Joerg v. Lingen - Interlocking Coordinator
Am 14.05.2020 um 15:11 schrieb Henrik Roslund:
> Hello.
>
> Very good inputs, Karl.
> I agree with your suggestions, but I would like to have an
> optional attribute connected to "NID_RBC", "RBC Name", and
> there is the real name of the RBC written, e.g. "Gotthard".
>
 Unfortunately doesn't the link work for me.
>
> Kind regards,
>
> Henrik Roslund
> Senior Consultant ETCS, MIRSE
> TÜV SÜD Schweiz AG
```