Subject: [railML2] Re: Meaning and usage of @shuntingTime Posted by Vasco Paul Kolmorgen on Wed, 27 May 2020 14:38:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

in the today's railML 3 developer meeting we discussed the shunting time too. This reminded us to solve this this long-standing for railML 2.5 too. Therefore I want to remind for the already opened ticket (see https://trac.railml.org/ticket/343) and your opinion for railML 2.5 (in this thread) and/or railML 3.2 (in a new thread).

Best regards,

--

Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railML.org

Am 03.09.2018 um 12:18 schrieb Dirk Bräuer:

> Dear Heribert.

>

> thank you for your reply. No objections from my side.

>> 1. The values in <times> also have a scope: actual,

- >> calculated, published, scheduled etc.. The shuntingTime as
- >> attribute of <ocpTT> does not have this scope and the
- >> assignment would therefore be problematic.

>

>

> Yes, I agree.

> 100, 1 agroc

- >> 4. Since we have very different use cases for data transfer
- >> with RailML, I would not restrict flexibility at this
- >> point.

>

> Ok. I agree that the question "what does @shuntingTime mean" can only be answered by a use case.

>

> So far, we can only summarise that we have no known use case and do not know how to use @shuntingTime. So, I would not like to add any example.

>

> However, we still should avoid to have two competing @shuntingTimes. So, there is still my suggestion to declare one or both as deprecated.

>

> At the today's <TT> developer telephone conference, it was agreed to open a "ticket" to fix that at least one @shuntingTimes should become deprecated from a future railML <TT> version.

> With best regards,

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from Forum