Subject: Re: [railML2] Extension proposal: new attributes for <category>
Posted by   on Wed, 28 Oct 2020 09:04:56 GMT

Hello Torben,

concerning the addition of the organizationalUnitRef attribute I agree.
It is possible that different operators use the same category names and
that a distinction can therefore only be made on the basis of the
operator. However, we should specify which type of <organizationalUnit>
is to be referenced, e.g. <railwayUndertaking> or <operationalUndertaking>.
With regard to an attribute parentRef I have no general objections, but
I do not yet really understand the use case. Could you give me a few
simple examples, with explanations of how the attributes of the
top-level categories and the subordinate categories interact?

Best Regards
Christian Rößiger
--
iRFP e. K. · Institut für Regional- und Fernverkehrsplanung
Hochschulstr. 45, 01069 Dresden
Tel. +49 351 4706819 · Fax. +49 351 4768190 · www.irfp.de
Registergericht: Amtsgericht Dresden, HRA 9347