
Subject: Re: [railML2] extension suggestion for the element <state> for working zones

Posted by [christian.rahmig](#) on Fri, 16 Jul 2021 12:56:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Torben,
dear all,

based on Trac ticket #395 [1] I implemented the <additionalRunningTime> within <area> as a first version. In that context, the following challenges should be considered in the discussion:

* A direction dependency as modelled with <additionalRunningTime>@dir is not feasible with areas that are not directly linked with tracks, e.g. an information area around an OCP.

* A direction dependency as modelled with <additionalRunningTime>@dir is not feasible with areas that span over several tracks having different directions.

So, we should clarify it once again: for which scenarios the <additionalRunningTime> (with direction dependency) is needed? Can you provide an example? Any comments are highly appreciated...

[1] <https://trac.railml.org/ticket/395>

Best regards
Christian
