
Subject: [railML3] Re: Signal combinations
Posted by [Michael Gruschwitz](#) on Mon, 16 Oct 2023 15:29:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

in general that solution looks intriguing. It will allow us to encode a lot more detail into the signals than before. Looking through your proposal, however, we came up with a couple of questions, we were hoping you could answer.

In your first example, shouldn't the signalS also have a `<isSpeedSignal type="announcement/distant"/>`?

What other values are available for the indicator types? Currently there only is "cautiousDriving" and "other" available in the standard?

Is the signalL function always only related to the trainMovement signal aspect of the signalS?

Will it be possible to specify the speed that an indicator can show? Like in the example shown in Wikipedia or below.

Is there a preview XSD available, so that we can look into it a little more detailed?

Thanks in advance for the clarification and thank you for your work.

With regards,

--

Michael Gruschwitz
Bahnkonzept Dresden/Germany

Am 23.08.2023 um 06:20 schrieb Jörg von Lingen:

- > Short correction: in first picture the closing tag shall be
- > "`</signalL>`"
- >
- > Here now a corrected picture plus an additional one for
- > combination of main, distant and shunting signal on the same
- > pole.
- >
- > Dr.-Ing. Jörg von Lingen - Interlocking scheme coordinator
- > railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
- > Phone Coordinator: +49 351 87759 40; railML.org: +49 351
- > 47582911
- > Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org

File Attachments

1) [SignalDifferentSpeedIndicator.png](#), downloaded 344 times
