
Subject: Re: [railML3] Re: Signal combinations
Posted by [Jörg von Lingen](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2023 12:19:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

in my presentation in Rome I unfortunately couldn't go into much details of the signal combinations. There are two items which needs your opinion. Basically it is possible in infrastructure to define several SignallS which are then grouped together using the @belongsToParent attribute. When looking into the examples I did attach to my post of 23. August they show two situations:

1) signal_combinations01 shows a signal with additional indicators. Here I suggest to have only one SignallS element representing the signal itself which is then referred to from interlocking part. Thus the SignalIndicators would not have an individual counterpart SignallS.

2) signal_combinations02 shows three signals at one mast. Thus they could be defined as three different SignallS grouped then together to one parent-SignallS. In this situation the three SignallL elements might refer to the parent-SignallS as shown in the picture (signal_combination02a.png) or the might refer to each individual SignallS (signal_combination02b.png). I suggest to do the latter one.

Please tell us your preferred way to model such signals.

Dr.-Ing. Jörg von Lingen - Interlocking scheme coordinator
railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
Phone Coordinator: +49 351 87759 40; railML.org: +49 351 47582911
Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany www.railml.org

File Attachments

- 1) [signal_combination02a.png](#), downloaded 59 times
 - 2) [signal_combination02b.png](#), downloaded 61 times
-