Subject: Re: [railML3] Revision of rulebook
Posted by christian.rahmig on Fri, 05 Jan 2024 12:44:58 GMT

Dear Georg,

I agree with Thomas that major changes in the meaning of a rulebook entry should not occur without a changed rulebook register name.

However, the topic of versions, revisions etc. of registers and external documents will become relevant at other situations, too. Therefore, a general approach on how the schema can deal with these revisions and versions of external resources (not only in infrastructure, but maybe also in rollingstock or timetable) may be useful for future railML versions.

Any ideas or comments from the community?

Best regards
Christian