Subject: Re: Infrastructure element datamodel quality and input-data source
Posted by Thomas Nygreen on Fri, 26 Apr 2024 16:09:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Torben,

Regarding your question 1, I have to point out that human readable properties like name and description "are not to be used to contain computer-processable data, nor shall it be parsed by programmes in any way." (see https://wiki3.railml.org/wiki/Dev:Identities#Differentiation _from_other_indications)

Regarding question 2, there is also a proposal to move states into Common, to allow use by all the subschemas: https://development.railml.org/railml/version3/-/issues/491
I still think the interpretation of a state referring to an area should be decided in the Infrastructure community.

Regarding question 3, is this covered by an existing or proposed railML 3 use case?

Best regards
Thomas