

---

Subject: Re: Infrastructure element datamodel quality and input-data source  
Posted by [Torben Brand](#) on Sat, 27 Apr 2024 07:10:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Unfortunately I was a bit over eager in my description and described in question 1 something that should be computer parsed. So the (strict) answer is quite correct. However the content is currently only human readable. See example:

«According to KVB-15-C-10000\_00B.

Elements marked with the status "conceptual" in the project area are based on a template for a 2-track station on a single-track line with ETCS (doc. no.: 202100192-11), adjusted to 740m effective train length for the Kongsvinger line according to effect package 14. (added by Torben Brand, 24.8.2023)»

So the question remains where to put this human readable text string in railML3.2?

What does the infrastructure coordinator think about question 2?:

can <elementState@refersToElement refer to genericArea@id or projectArea@id? And if yes: which one of the two?

Answer to question 3 is no. So I suggest I make a draft «quality» UC together with the common coordinator and present this in the autumn conference to be proposed to be developed in a community working group for railML 3.4.

---