## Subject: Re: Balise group values for IS:functionalType Posted by Martin Zien on Fri, 03 May 2024 12:58:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear all,

after alignment with one of our ETCS-experts in the company, I can confirm that the additional attributes make sense.

But we would recommend to introduce slightly different naming to make this matter more definitly:

- 1. Please replace inside the descriptions the term "STM" by "NTC". ("STM" was valid up to BL 2, is now replaced by "NTC")
- 2. rename "LevelTransitionCancellation" -> "Level2TransitionOrder"
- "LevelTransitionOrder" -> "LevelNtcTransitionOrder"
- 4. Since railML V3.3 should be ready for ETCS L1 too, it would be consequent to introduce a thrid new entry to the enumeration:

"Level1TransitionOrder": This balise group transmits at least Packet 41 (Level Transition Order) with immediate transition to ETCS Level 1.

Please let us know, what you think of this proposal.

Best regards

Martin Zien Siemens Mobility