
Subject: Re: [railML3] Request for feedback on changes of our deprecation policy
Posted by [Michael Gruschwitz](#) on Wed, 04 Sep 2024 10:17:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear railML team,

If it is well documented, there is nothing to be said against it in our opinion.

Thank you an best regards.

Michael Gruschwitz

Am 04.09.2024 um 09:36 schrieb Vasco Paul Kolmorgen:

> Dear all,
>
> Following an issue [1] and presentation at the conference [2] railML.org
> is to change a deprecation policy for railML3.
>
> Current state: In order to remove an element or attribute we currently
> need an intermediate minor version of railML where we deprecate this
> attribute or element.
>
> railML's suggestion:
> • Changes from one minor version to the next will be allowed without a
> deprecation phase. This allows changes that cannot have a deprecation
> phase, such as:
> o Renaming elements, attributes or enumeration values
> o Changing the minOccurs or maxOccurs of an element
> o Changing the use of an attribute (optional or mandatory)
> o Changing between xs:sequence, xs:choice and xs:all
> • Remodelling may also be done without including both the old and new
> implementation in the new version, reducing the complexity.
> • Removals that are not replaced by something new may still have a
> deprecation phase.
>
> Please answer if you have anything against or anything is missing.
>
> Crosspost to all boards in railML forum, please follow-up and answer
> in railML.common only.
>
>
> [1] <https://development.railml.org/railml/version3/-/issues/535>
> [2]
> https://download.railml.org/events/conferences/railml_45th_virtual/2024-06-06_railml-nygreen_common.pdf
>
> Sincerely,

- > --
 - > Vasco Paul Kolmorgen - Governance Coordinator
 - > railML.org (Registry of Associations: VR 5750)
 - > Phone railML.org: +49 351 47582911
 - > Altplauen 19h; 01187 Dresden; Germany
-