
Subject: Re: Double switch crossing: 'crossingRef' attribute for the fictive switches
Posted by [Christian Rahmig](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2012 15:33:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Susanne and other railML users,

- > I find multiple possibilities to group the basic railway elements (see
- > above) into macroscopic objects.
- >
- >> - crossover (de: Gleisverbindung)
- >> - double crossover (de: Doppelte Gleisverbindung)
- >
- > - wye (triangular junction, de: Gleisdreieck)
- > - ??? (de: Gleisünfeck)
- > - grand union (two double-track railway lines cross at grade)
- > - flying junction (grade separated crossing)
- > - double junction (double-track junction, de: zweigleisiger Abzweig)
- > - ??? (de: Ausweiche)
- > - ...
- >
- > Do we really want to define this level of topology now?

It is not necessary to define a complete list of all possible macroscopic topology elements, but I think it helps if our new approach allows for an easy adaptation in future.

- >> - The type of the macroscopic infrastructure element is specified in
- >> the parameter "elementType", which offers an (extendable)
- >> enumeration list of infrastructure elements, e.g. 'track',
- >> 'ordinarySwitch', 'threeWaySwitch', 'simpleCrossing',
- >> 'simpleSwitchCrossing', 'doubleSwitchCrossing' and 'turntable'.
- >
- > Why are the values "insideCurvedSwitch" and "outsideCurvedSwitch"
- > included? This geometric layout information should be at another layer,
- > I mean.

Yes, you are right. From the topology view, an "insideCurvedSwitch" is identical to an "ordinarySwitch".

- > Please add the "transferTable" to the enumeration list.

+1

- >> - The macroscopic infrastructure element contains several (at least
- >> one) <infrElementRef> reference objects.
- >> - Each <infrElementRef> element provides the required parameters
- >> "elementType" for specifying the type of the referenced
- >> infrastructure element and "ref" for referencing the ID of the

- >> more detailed infrastructure element.
- >
- > Please, do not abbreviate the element names.
- >
- > Why not to allow all special element references and generic additions?
- > That way, we could easily apply key-keyref constraints.
- >
- > Do you really want the "sequence" attribute inside the *Ref elements? I
- > find it hard to define the sequence of the microscopic elements inside
- > the macroscopic objects. The most important fact is, how are the
- > microscopic elements connected with each other? How to ensure that in a
- > consistent way?

If we implement special element references, we need to define a "complete" list of topologic elements. You are right, that this approach will help us much better regarding the key-keyref constraints. But as soon as we include a "genericRef" element, we have to check the attribute "type" to determine the type of referenced object. Therefore, we should try to minimize such genericRef cases.

```

> <macroscopicInfrastructureElement id="mie1" code="sw12-14"
>   elementType="other:crossover">
>   <switchRef ref="sw12"/>
>   <switchRef ref="sw14"/>
>   <trackRef ref="tr1456"/>
> </macroscopicInfrastructureElement>
>
> <macroscopicInfrastructureElement id="mie2" code="tt1"
>   elementType="turntable">
>   <!-- The turntable tt1 consists of three tracks, that supposed to be
>     defined using the default railML structure, each with two
>     crossing elements to refer to, additionally the connection tracks
>     are also listed, one "incoming", three "outgoing" -->
>   <trackRef ref="tr1234"/>
>   <trackRef ref="tr1235"/>
>   <trackRef ref="tr1236"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1234-1235"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1234-1236"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1235-1236"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1235-1234"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1236-1234"/>
>   <crossingRef ref="cr1236-1235"/>
>   <genericRef ref="tb234" type="other:connection"/>
>   <genericRef ref="tb235" type="other:connection"/>
>   <genericRef ref="tb236" type="other:connection"/>
>   <genericRef ref="te400" type="other:connection"/>
> </macroscopicInfrastructureElement>

```

Thank you for these good examples!

Regards

--

Christian Rahmig
railML.infrastructure coordinator
