

---

Subject: Re: SpeedChange : Protection system reference  
Posted by on Thu, 01 Nov 2012 15:40:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

- > Why not to define both references like already done with the
- > <connection> elements? That can be easily assured by special
- > constraints. Both sights meet their requirements.

I would agree with that suggestion - despite it is redundancy.

But if we create such a cross-reference here, we should also answer the general question related with it:

- The redundancy which always lies in two cross-references has to be accepted.
- Each reference in RailML which is so far a simple one - one direction only - can be made to an optional cross-reference without discussion.

Is it possible to make cross-references optional in general? That means: It is not necessary to give both directions. If both directions are given, the special XML constrains secure that they there is no conflict between them.

Best regards,  
Dirk.

---