Subject: Re: Balise / baliseGroups : structure & attributes Posted by Christian Rahmig on Fri, 09 Nov 2012 23:15:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Susanne and other railML users,

- >> A <baliseGroup> fulfills a certain function, which will be defined
- >> in the parameter "type" with the possible values 'infill', 'signal',
- >> technicalFixed' and 'technicalSwitchable'.

>

- > That above mentioned types are of different kind. A "signal" balise
- > group is always "technicalSwitchable". The "infill" balise group is also
- > always "technicalSwitchable". A "technicalFixed" balise group may be one
- > for odometry or for track conditions ...

>

- > Thus I would prefer the enumeration values "infill", "signal" and
- > "fixed" for the "type" attribute.

Ok, I modified the trac ticket [1] accordingly.

- A <baliseGroup> may have a reference to a <signal>, which will be> defined in the optional parameter "signalRef".

> On another thread we currently discuss the reference from a signal to

> its "train protection element". I would prefer to go the same way here.

>

>

- > Thus there could be a reference from a signal to its "protecting" balise
- > group with a "baliseGroupRef" attribute in <signal> or <signalAspect>.

Ok. See the trac ticket changes in [1].

- The reference from a <baliseGroup> to up to eight single <balise>> elements remains with the sequence of <baliseRef> objects.

_ /

- > As mentioned at the beginning of this thread the main idea was to define
- > the up to eight balises inside the balise group not referring them
- > outside. A balise of a balise group cannot be used otherwise by another
- > balise group. Some attributes of the current <balise> element should
- > move to the <balliseGroup> element in order to reduce not-needed
- > redundancy.

Yes, it is useful to group the balise objects in <baliseGroup> than grouping references there. However, this will be a major change if you do not want to have two (legal) places for defining <balise> elements. Therefore, I prefer to change this with railML 3.0.

[1] https://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/174

Regards

--

Christian Rahmig railML.infrastructure coordinator