
Subject: Re: Modified V94_12

Posted by [volker.knollmann](#) on Thu, 20 Nov 2003 10:23:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Nikolaus Fries wrote:

> But I think

>> it's a good idea to have at least the most important systems (track

>> circuits, axle counters, LZB, ETCS) at hand.

> Here you are risking an endless discussion about the "most important"

> systems, because this is the german point of view...

Okay, so I officially declare open this discussion!! :)

Anybody who wants to contribute?

IIRC, Switzerland for example uses track magnets (or track beacons) for their widely spread ATP-systems SIGNUM and ZUB 121. And ZSI 27 / ZSI 127 uses Balises.

Austria uses LZB and PZB (INDUSI) just like Germany.

All these systems could be defined im RailML using the elements <trackCouplingCoil>, <trackCircuit> and <Balise>, couldn't they?

Unfortunately, I know nothing about other European Countries. Who can help?

> You will probably have taken notice of my propositions concerning the

> signal element. What do you think of them?

Sorry, but when you spoke of "your propositions", I thought you were refering to your thesis. Obviously, I was wrong. Which other proposals have you made? I found nothing here in this newsgroup, but perhaps I'm just blind... can you give me a hint?

> My <connection> element ist derived from <kilometer> if that might help

> you to find it. To fit it into your version it might be best made a

> subelement of <track> as an alternative to your two new attributes.

I will have a look at your scheme as soon as I found it (see above). I promise!

> Best regards from Paris

^~~~~~

<kidding>

So you are the ideal candidate to add French ATP-components to

<ocsElements>, hmm?

</kidding>

As usual, best regards from Braunschweig!
Volker Knollmann
