

---

Subject: Re: small issues on "register" and "tLineInfrastructureManagerCode"  
Posted by [Susanne Wunsch railML](#) on Mon, 12 Nov 2012 14:02:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dirk Bräuer <dirk.braeuer@irfp.de> writes:

- > <ocp>.<designator>.register is of type string.
- > <line>.infrastructureManagerCode is of type
- > tLineInfrastructureManagerCode (enumeration).
- >
- > Both are of the same kind, so may be both should be harmonised in type
- > (either string or enumeration).

I would prefer an extensible enumeration list for the register. :-)

- > In Wiki, the following enumerations for <ocp>.<designator>.register
- > are defined so far:
- >
- >> Currently predefined 'register' enumeration entries:- ENEE Station
- >> code of ENEE database managed by UIC.- IBNR ... (EFZ)...- DS100
- >> German "Betriebsstellenkürzel" ...
- >> - DB640 Austrian "Dienstbehelf No. 640" ...
- >> - DIDOK Swiss "Dienststellendokumentation" of Schweizerische
- >> Bundesbahnen on behalf of Bundesamt für Verkehr."
- >
- > In the XSD at an annotation it is written "e.g. IBNR, DB640, Ril100,
- > DIDOK". Please harmonise the XSD with Wiki (not Ril100 but DS100).

According to Wikipedia [1] and Deutsch Bahn [2] it is abbreviated "RL100" nowadays. So we should change to "RL100" instead of "Ril100".

I reopened the Trac ticket for this issue to clarify with railML 2.2:

<http://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/112#comment:10>

- > If tLineInfrastructureManagerCode keeps to be an enumeration: Please
- > extend tLineInfrastructureManagerCode with ThE, EVB and other known
- > European IM.

Thanks for this additions. I found a Wikipedia page for some German IMs, but this list seems to be not complete, 'ThE' is missing there. [3]  
Switching the wiki language other European IMs may be found. ;-)

I reopened the Trac ticket for this issue to extend with railML 2.2:

<http://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/152#comment:8>

- > Would it be advisable - for political correctness - to

> use some kind of 'official' abbreviation like "DB Netz AG", wouldn't  
> it?  
> <xs:enumeration value="DB-Netz" />

That would be possible, it results in possible changing all the other  
IMs the same way. :-(

What to do, if they again change their company name?

How about your mentioned example: "DB Netz AG" (website) vs "DB Netze"  
(PDF, [2])?

> <xs:enumeration value="Infrabel" />  
> <xs:enumeration value="ROeEE" />  
> <xs:enumeration value="ÖBB-Infra" />  
> <xs:enumeration value="SBB-Infrastruktur" />  
> <xs:enumeration value="BLS-Netz" />  
> <xs:enumeration value="SŽDC" />

[1] [http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bahnamtliches\\_Betriebsstellenverzeichnis](http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bahnamtliches_Betriebsstellenverzeichnis)

[2] [http://www.deutschebahn.com/site/dbnetz/zubehoer\\_\\_assets/de/anhaenge/betriebsstellen/betriebsstellen.pdf](http://www.deutschebahn.com/site/dbnetz/zubehoer__assets/de/anhaenge/betriebsstellen/betriebsstellen.pdf)

[3] <http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eisenbahninfrastrukturunternehmen#Streckenl.C3.A4ngen>

Kind regards...

Susanne

--

Susanne Wunsch

Schema Coordinator: railML.common

---