
Subject: Re: SpeedChange : Protection system reference
Posted by on Tue, 13 Nov 2012 11:15:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Susanne,

> We don't try to find a solution for signals/panels in this thread. It is
> more about a reference from speed changes to its "securing" train
> protection elements never mind if there are speed panels or signals at
> all.

Thank you for clarifying this, but it was Christian who brought the discussion on signals, not me.

>> Considering a signal, it may show different signal aspects, which
>> relate to different <speedChange> elements then.

I am not sure whether you can cancel Christians thoughts in that way. ;-)

So the question still is whether the very important differences between speedChanges and speedAspects should be "kept" anywhere, may be in Wiki. If I get the agreement I would write it into Wiki.

Concerning the reference speedChange <-> trainProtectionElement:

- I would agree with the way via a 'trainProtectionGroup'.
- I agree the direction speedChange --> trainProtectionGroup (1:n) --> trainProtectionElement (1:n) is the more reasonable one.
- Consider our discussion on cross-references in general: May be you want to introduce both directions from the beginning.

Dirk.
