

---

Subject: Re: trainProtectionElement, ETCS and balises  
Posted by on Mon, 03 Dec 2012 08:57:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Alternatively, I suggest to define two separate lists for listing train  
> protection systems. The first one focuses on the train protection system  
> device installed to the train...

+1

> Maybe we should rename it "tNationalSystemsTypeForVehicle"?

+1

> The second list "tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail" might be shorter because of  
> discarding values such as 'IndusiXY' and summarizing them to 'PZB'.

+1 but please name it "tNationalSystemsTypeAtTrack" or "...Lineside" (the  
first might be regarded exactly but the second one is usual in UK).

> "tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail" might be shorter because of discarding  
> values such as 'IndusiXY' and summarizing them to 'PZB'.

For the sake of completeness: You leave the knowledge which  
tNationalSystemsTypeAtTrack works with which tNationalSystemsTypeOnRail up  
to the programmes. From my side, this is ok so far. For completeness, we  
could include into to <interlocking> scheme or possibly in a separate  
scheme the linkage and function of the train protection systems.

Best regards,  
Dirk.

---