
Subject: Re: Obligational stop

Posted by _____ on Tue, 12 Mar 2013 19:35:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Susanne and all others,

- > The described scenario is very specific to the German railway
- > operations. In order to avoid country-specific operation rules in the
- > context of the more general railML data exchange, the current
- > implementation should be removed.

You want to decline a possibility for a mandatory stop post because you think it's "too German" but you want to keep the place of "Betriebsbremsung"?

I am very much wondering. It's a joke, isn't it?

A mandatory stop post is by far not German. As I already explained in this thread earlier, they appear for instance also in many other countries. RETB stop posts in UK, for instance.

On the contrary, I do not know any other country which has something like "Betriebsbremsung". Despite being from Germany, I do not even really know what to do at these places as a driver. The background is very old and out-dated as so many other rules in Germany... But we have it in RailML! Very good!

I am wondering. And I am learning. Thank you for that lesson.

Didn't we allow speed profiles depending on the greater "route" (or path) of a train despite this is not very general and physical and happens in Switzerland only? I can remember a discussion at a RailML conference where the Swiss members said "ok but what should we do"? So why not using "anyAttributes" for that? I know more countries with mandatory stops by infrastructure than with path-dependent speeds.

Well, we have to be careful not to get much of the other's countries specialties... I will keep an eye on it!

Dirk.
