

---

Subject: Re: new draft V1.1-R1

Posted by [Daniel Huerlimann](#) on Mon, 08 Sep 2008 08:26:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello Joachim

I looked at your proposals and I have the following comment:

The term "route" is used in railway interlocking. I do not recommend to use it in the timetable schema to avoid naming conflicts.

I recommend to rename "routeOcps" and "routeSections".

A glossary of railway terms related to signaling and control:

<http://joernpachl.gmxhome.de/glossary.htm>

Best regards

Dani

> Hello,

>

> there are some new developments around the timetable schema. As Susanne

> showed in the last meetings, there are still unsolved issues around

> "operational trains" versus "commercial trains" and "splitting / joining".

> So I started a greater restructuring of the timetable schema. A first

> draft (Version 1.1-R1) could be seen on the developer side. There is also

> a handmade example included in order to get an impression.

>

> Main changes are:

> - "trains" as basic train parts with fix "formation" and "operatingPeriod"

> - "trainGroups" for commercial/operational trains

> - "routeOcps" instead of "timetableentries" and "entry" elements

> - "routeSections" instead of "section" elements

> - several discarded elements and attributes ("operation", "section",

> "intervalTrainID", ...)

> - several renamings in "id" and "RefId" to use the common

> "tElementWithIDAndName", ...)

> - some grouping of the attributes ("trainKind", "arrivalDepartureTimes",

> ..)

> - new attributes "emptyRunning" and "shuntingTime" for rollingstock

> circulation purposes

>

> Please have a look at all these changes and let's start a discussion about

> them. Or at least give me some feedback.

>

> Kind regards,

> Joachim

---