
Subject: Difference between 'load' and 'timetableLoad'
Posted by on Wed, 23 May 2012 13:21:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi all,

there are two attributes at <formationTT>: 'load' and 'timetableLoad'.
What is the difference between them?

> load: the real load of the formation while in use

Should 'real' it mean "in contrary to the formation as given at rollingstock" or should it mean the actual load of a certain day after the train did actually run? If the latter is meant, it would be a brake in the philosophy because these 'actual', 'driven' data can be different for each day and we would then consequently also need attributes like 'actualLength', 'actualMass', 'actualFormation', 'actualBrankingSettings'... At last everything can differ from the timetable so to provide attribute for the 'driven' parameters would mean to copy each attribute.

Since the name of the Scheme is 'timetable', one should assume that all of its descendants are 'timetable' attributes... A timetable is always a kind of planning in advance - the data of the already driven trains we do not call timetable.

From our point of view, 'load' and 'timetableLoad' are redundant. So far, we only use 'load' but not 'timetableLoad'. Since FBS is a pure planning system, we only know the load planned in advance and never know the real load of a train at a certain day. Does that mean that we rather should use 'timetableLoad'?

Best regards,
Dirk.
