
Subject: Re: missing bitMask at <trainPart><operatingPeriodRef>

Posted by _____ on Thu, 31 May 2012 12:48:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear all,

- > as a general rule, I see absolutely no point in providing alternative
- > means of expressing one and the same thing. It only drives up the cost
- > of implementing the standard.
- > I would therefore pledge for removing these attributes from the
- > trainPart element and strictly restrain the standard to use of
- > operatingPeriods.

It is not so easy with redundancies. Of course we should avoid them as much as possible. But sometimes we can hardly avoid them without risking that RailML will not be accepted in practice.

In this special case I agree with Andreas; from our side no objection against fully deleting (declaring deprecated) everything but the <operatingPeriodRef>.ref.

Dirk.
