

---

Subject: Re: Sequence of ocpTT elements

Posted by [Andreas Tanner](#) on Thu, 07 Jun 2012 14:01:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear everyone,

I would vote for Joachim's proposal to avoid an - even if only formal - incompatibility between minor versions.

--Andreas.

Am 05.06.2012 17:15, schrieb Dirk Bräuer:

> Dear Joachim and Andreas,

>

>> In order to avoid breaking changes, what do you think about

>> introducing an optional attribute "sequence" for the ocpTT in version

>> 2.2 and declare

>> that it will become required for 3.0?

>

> I would of course welcome it.

>

> I would also not see any problem in declaring it required from 2.2.

> Anybody who implements 2.2 has to change at least something (the

> namespace location?). It should not be demanded too much to add such a

> simply counting attribute. So if we consider it being required we can -

> from our side - do it from the beginning.

>

> If there would be a "sequence" attribute in 2.2 - whether required or

> not - we would always write it from the first release of 2.2 and also we

> would require it on input. There is no reason to move forward the

> programming effort - it will not become easier.

>

---