

---

Subject: Re: missing bitMask at <trainPart><operatingPeriodRef>  
Posted by [Joachim Rubröder railML](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2012 14:11:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear all,

if you both agree, that the use of operatingPeriods should be restricted to references, we could implement it this way:  
<https://trac.assembla.com/railML/ticket/158>

Kind regards...  
Joachim

--  
Joachim Rubröder  
Schema Coordinator: railML.timetable

Dirk Bräuer wrote:

>  
> Dear all,  
>  
>> as a general rule, I see absolutely no point in providing alternative  
>> means of expressing one and the same thing. It only drives up the cost  
>> of implementing the standard.  
>> I would therefore pledge for removing these attributes from the  
>> trainPart element and strictly restrain the standard to use of  
>> operatingPeriods.  
>  
> It is not so easy with redundancies. Of course we should avoid them as  
> much as possible. But sometimes we can hardly avoid them without risking  
> that RailML will not be accepted in practice.  
>  
> In this special case I agree with Andreas; from our side no objection  
> against fully deleting (declaring deprecated) everything but the  
> <operatingPeriodRef>.ref.  
>  
> Dirk.  
>  
>

--  
----- posted via PHP Headliner -----

---